

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/363,234	07/27/1999	DOUGLAS E. OTT	15006.0008	7886
7:	590 05/19/2005	٠.	EXAM	INER
D. EDWARD DOLGORUKOV			THOMPSON, MICHAEL M	
	AND MELHORN, LLC TE, EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOLEDO, OH	•		3763	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ϵ		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/363,234	OTT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael M. Thompson	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	nddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u>	anuary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-106 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36,37,40-48,57,58,6 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-35,38-39,49-56,59-60,70-75,97-98 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>1-69,76-96 and 100</u> is/are withdra 9 <u>, 101-106</u> is/are rejected.	awn from conside	eration.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · ·	-			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or torm i	310-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Inity documents have been receive	ion No	al Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date パーを・クイト之一を・クラ	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application (P	10-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/363,234 Page 1

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rusz et al. (4,770,168). Rusz et al. teaches in the least, a housing, a chamber having an entry and exit port and a pharmacologic agent contained within the chamber and capable of being mixed with the gas stream.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 3763

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 34-35, 38-39, 49-56, 59-60, 70-75, 97-99, and 101-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartels et al. (U.S. 4,621,632) in view of Daniell et al. (6,050,260), and further in view of Rusz et al. Bartels et al. teaches a method of providing for a period of time, heated and humidified gas into a patient by directing a gas from a source to a chamber, humidifying the gas within the chamber with liquid, replenishing the liquid, heating the gas, filtering the gas, sensing the temperature, controlling the electrical power, wherein the step of heating and humidifying performed on the gas is simultaneous, with the chamber adjacent to the patient. Bartels teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for explicitly reciting a humidity sensing means and a monitoring means connected to the humidity sensing means for monitoring the humidity of the gas and keeping it within a determined threshold. Daniell et al. teaches a humidity sensing means and a monitoring means for monitoring the humidity of the gas and keeping it within a determined threshold. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the humidity device of Bartels et al. to monitor humidity by a humidity sensing means while keeping the humidity within a determined range or threshold for the well known purpose of preventing a cavity that is normally moist from drying out thereby causing inflammation causing discomfort. Both Bartels et al. and Daniell et al. in combination teach all of the limitations of the claims except for explicitly reciting that a pharmacological agent may be added into the stream of gas. Rusz et al. teaches the addition of a pharmacological agent to a stream of gas. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to have modified the combination of Bartels et al. and Daniell et

Art Unit: 3763

al. with the teachings of Rusz et al. to provide a respiratory device that is capable of delivering a pharmacological agent or anesthetic for the well known purpose of administering anesthesia during surgery.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34-35, 38-39, 49-56, 59-60, 70-75, 97-99, 6. and 101-106 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by amendment.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this 7. Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3763

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4968. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Nick Lucchesi, can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The official fax phone number for all submissions to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Michael M. Thompson

Patent Examiner

RITUNULAS D. LUCGINESI CUPENTISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

\ *1*T

April 30, 2005